

10.30699/ijmm.18.1.33

Iranian Journal of Medical Microbiology | ISSN:2345-4342



First Detection of Colistin Resistance Encoding Gene mcr-1 in Clinical Enterobacteriaceae Isolates in Morocco

Zine El Abidine Bzazou EL Ouazzani¹⁰, Houda Benaicha^{1,2}, Laila Reklaoui¹⁰, Rajae Alloudane¹, Said Barrijal¹*¹⁰

- 1. Laboratory of Biotechnological Valorization of Microorganisms, Genomics, and Bioinformatics, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tangier, Morocco
- 2. Institut Supérieur des Professions Infirmières et Techniques de Santé de Tanger, Tangier, Morocco

ABSTRACT

Background and Aim: Since first reported in 2015, the mobile colistin resistance (mcr-1) gene has been found in increasing numbers worldwide. However, only a few studies have been carried out in Morocco. Herein, we aimed to investigate the prevalence of colistin resistance and mcr-like genes among Enterobacteriaceae clinical isolates from the North-West of Morocco.

Materials and Methods: The prevalence of colistin resistance was investigated among 338 Enterobacteriaceae clinical isolates. These isolates were collected from Tangier and Tetouan cities between December 2020 and May 2021. The isolates included Escherichia coli (E. coli), Klebsiella pneumoniae (K. pneumoniae), Enterobacter spp, Citrobacter freundii (C. freundii), and Proteus mirabilis (P. mirabilis). Antibacterial susceptibility tests were performed using disc diffusion assay and minimal inhibitory concentrations (MIC). All isolates that were found resistant to colistin were subjected to PCR and sequencing techniques to screen them for the presence of mcr-like genes (mcr-1 to mcr-5).

Results: 22.78% of isolates were multidrug-resistant (MDR), among which 57.14% of P. mirabilis isolates, 30% of Enterobacter spp isolates, 22.22% of K. pneumoniae isolates, and 22.05% of E. coli isolates. Colistin resistance was found in 51 of 338 isolates, including 33 E. coli, 11 K. pneumoniae, and 7 P. mirabilis. The mcr-1 gene was detected in 3 E. coli isolates. Mcr-2 to mcr-5 genes were absent in this study. Sequencing of PCR amplicons revealed 99–100% similarity to mcr-1 gene.

Conclusion: This is the first study conducted in Morocco to investigate the prevalence of colistin resistance among clinical isolates, and it has revealed the first three mcr-1 genes circulating in Morocco. This could lead to the dissemination of these genes throughout Morocco.

Keywords: Colistin, Enterobacteriaceae, Escherichia coli, MCR genes, Morocco

Received: 2023/12/13;		Accepted: 2023/03/14;	Published Online: 2024/03/18		
Corresponding Information:		Said Barrijal, Laboratory of Biotechnological Valorization of Sciences and Techniques, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tr		of Microorganisms, Genomics, and Bioinformatics, Faculty of angier, Morocco Email: <u>barrijal@yahoo.fr</u>	
CC O S	Copyright © 2024 permits copy and	 This is an original open-acc redistribution of the material 	ess article distributed under the terms of t l just in noncommercial usage with proper c	ne Creative Commons Attribution-noncommercial 4.0 International License which itation.	

Use a device to scan and read the article online



Bzazou El Ouazzani Z E, Benaicha H, Reklaoui L, Alloudane R, Barrijal S. First Detection of Colistin Resistance Encoding Gene mcr-1 in Clinical Enterobacteriaceae isolates in Morocco. Iran J Med Microbiol. 2024;18(1):33-40.

Download citation: BibTeX | RIS | EndNote | Medlars | ProCite | Reference Manager | RefWorks Send citation to: 🥨 Mendeley 🗷 Zotero 💷 RefWorks

1. Introduction

The emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria presents a significant concern for global public health. This emergence is constantly increasing, further exacerbating the healthcare system and potentially resulting in a therapeutic impasse (1). Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) are the most acknowledged organisms for their ability to resist multiple antibiotics. The antibiotic resistance in GNB is mainly due to their distinctive structure, particularly their outer cell membrane, which serves as an extra shield preventing antibiotics from reaching their intended targets. Noteworthy members within this group include the Enterobacteriaceae family, which includes Escherichia coli (E. coli), Klebsiella pneumoniae (K. pneumoniae), Enterobacter spp, Citrobacter freundii (C. freundii), and Proteus mirabilis (P. mirabilis) (1, 2). Infections caused by this particular group of bacteria are generally treated by antibiotics such as carbapenems and cephalosporins (3). However, the emergence of MDR bacteria worldwide and the lack of novel therapeutic alternatives have compelled healthcare professionals to reintroduce some old molecules, often discarded owing to their toxicity (3, 4). One such molecule is colistin (polymyxin E), an antibiotic that was discarded from clinical practice in the 1980s due to its neurotoxicity and nephrotoxicity, in preference for novel antibiotics such as third-generation cephalosporins and largespectrum beta-lactams (3-5). Consequently, since 2012, colistin has been prescribed as an antibiotic to treat severe multi-drug resistant GNB-associated infections. Colistin is mainly administered intravenously (IV), although it has been shown that inhaling colistin can also be an effective treatment for respiratory infections. Interestingly, colistin inhalation proved lower toxicity compared to IV administration (4-6). However, the excessive consumption of colistin in recent years has resulted in the emergence of bacteria that resist this antibiotic. The resistance to colistin can be categorized into two types: chromosomal resistance and plasmid-mediated resistance. Chromosomal resistance originates from genetic mutations in the genes encoding the twosystems (TCS) component PhoP/phoQ and PmrA/PmrB, among others, subsequent to prolonged use of colistin (7). On the other hand, plasmidmediated resistance results from acquiring plasmids that harbor mobile colistin resistance genes (*mcr*) (7). A significant number of studies have investigated into the dissemination of these plasmids in various samples worldwide, including humans, animals, food, and the environment (7). Consequently, ten mcr-like genes (mcr-1 to mcr-10) have been reported. These genes have been detected in most enterobacteriaceae strains, as well as Pseudomonas spp. and Acinetobacter spp (8, 9).

The detection of the *mcr*-1 gene in clinical isolates for the first time in Morocco is a worrying issue due to the high transferability of these plasmid genes (8, 9).

This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of colistin resistance and *mcr* genes among clinical *Enterobacteriaceae* isolated from the northwest of Morocco.

2. Materials and Methods

Bacterial Isolates

In total, 338 clinical isolates (from non-hospitalized patients) of *Enterobacteriaceae* were collected

between December 2020 and May 2021 in North-West Morocco from medical analysis laboratories. The isolates were obtained from urine specimens and identified using a VITEK^{*}2 system (Biomerieux, France). The isolates included 263 *E. coli*, 54 *K. pneumoniae*, 10 *Enterobacter spp*, 7 *P. mirabilis*, and 4 C. *freundii*. We had access to information regarding the patient's gender for 164 isolates out of 338 collected. The available data included 108 males, 44 females, and 12 children.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (AST)

Susceptibility testing was evaluated for all isolates using disc diffusion and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) following Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institut (CLSI) recommendations **(10, 11)**. Nine antibiotics were tested for disc diffusion: Amoxicillin (AMX=30 µg), Cefotaxime (CTX=30 µg), Cephalotin (CF=30 µg), Ceftazidime (CAZ= 30 µg), Imipenem (IMP=10 µg), Nalidixic Acid (NA30 µg), Ciprofloxacin (CIP=5 µg), Tobramycin (TOB=10 µg), and Amikacin (AMK=30 µg). *E. coli* ATCC[®] 25922 was used as a quality control reference strain.

Unlike other antibiotics, the disc diffusion method is not recommended for colistin susceptibility determination (11). Alternatively, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined using the broth microdilution method following CLSI recommendations (10). Briefly, 50 µl of each isolate was adjusted at optical density (OD) at λ = 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) = 0.01 (5.10⁵ colonyforming units (CFU)/mL) in cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton broth (Sigma-Aldrich, France) and added to 50 μl of colistin (Colistin sulfate salt, Sigma-Aldrich, France) (diluted in distilled water) at concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 64 μ g/mL in 96-well plate. The mixture was incubated for 24 h at 37°C with shaking at 120 rpm. Subsequently, 10 µL of resazurin (Sigma-Aldrich, France) (0.015% (v/v)) was added to each plate's well to determine the MIC. The color change from purple to pink indicated bacterial growth. The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration at which no color change was observed (12). The isolates were considered susceptible to colistin when their MIC ≤2 µg/mL (10). Each strain that resisted at least three classes of antibiotics was considered an MDR strain (13).

Plasmid DNA Extraction and Quality Control

All isolates that were found colistin-resistant in the susceptibility testing were subjected to plasmid DNA extraction using a plasmid kit (GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit, Thermo Scientific[™], USA). Subsequently, the extracted DNA's purity (260/280 ratio) and concentration were measured using a nanodrop spectrophotometer (NanoPhotometer[®] P300-Class,

Germany). The purified DNA was aliquoted and stored at -20° C.

PCR Amplification of Mcr-1, 2, 3, 4, 5 Genes

Genes *mcr*-1 to *mcr*-5 were amplified using the pairs of primers listed in <u>Table 1</u>. The PCR reaction mixture was prepared in a final volume of 50 µl including 10 µL of 5x MyTaq reaction buffer, 5 µL of DNA template, 1 µL of 20 mM of each primer, 1 µL of MyTaq DNA polymerase (Bioline, UK), and water (ddH₂O) up to 50 μL. Thermal cycles were conducted using a thermal cycler (MultiGene[™] Mini Personal, USA) **(14-18)**. The amplicons were separated on electrophoresis (Mini-Sub Cell GT Systems, USA) using 1% agarose gel (for 40 min) containing 0.5 μg/mL ethidium bromide (Sigma–Aldrich, France). The bands were visualized using a gel imaging system (Quantum-ST4-1120/Blue, Spain) and compared to a DNA ladder (HyperLadder[™] 100bp, Bioline, UK) to determine the amplicon's size. Colistin susceptible strain *E. coli* ATCC[®] 25922 was used as negative control.

Table 1. Primers used for PCR amplification of mcr-1, mcr-2, mcr-3, mcr-4, mcr-5 genes.

Genes	Primer Sequence (5' \rightarrow 3')	Size (bp)	Annealing Temperature (°C)	References	
<i>mcr</i> -1 F	CGGTCAGTCCGTTTGTTC	309	51	(14)	
<i>mcr</i> -1 R	CTTGGTCGGTCTGTAGGG			(- 1)	
<i>mcr</i> -2 F	TGTTGCTTGTGCCGATTGGA	567	58	(15)	
<i>mcr</i> -2 R	AGATGGTATTGTTTGGTTGCTG			()	
<i>mcr</i> -3 F	TTGGCACTGTATTTTGCATTT	542	50	(16)	
<i>mcr</i> -3 R	TTAACGAAATTGGCTGGGTGGAACA			()	
mcr-4 F	ATTGGGATAGTCGCCTTTTT	487	54	(17)	
mcr-4 R	TTACAGCCAGAATCATTATCA			(17)	
<i>mcr</i> -5 F	ATGCGGTTGTCTGCATTTATC	1644	54	(18)	
<i>mcr</i> -5 R	TCATTGTGGTTGTCCTTTTCTG	2011		()	

Sequences Analysis

The PCR products were subjected to the Sanger sequencing method at the National Center for Scientific and Technical Research (NCSTR) in order to confirm the presence of the *mcr*-1 gene. The sequencing results were analyzed using SnapGene viewer software (Version 6.0), and the sequences were compared to those in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank[®].

3. Results

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (AST)

For 338 clinical isolates, we noted that AMX exhibited the lowest efficacy, with a resistance rate of 68.93%. Conversely, the antibiotic with the highest effectiveness against these isolates was IMP, with a susceptibility rate of 96.75%. The resistance rates of the isolates are summarized in Table 2.

For colistin, the microdilution method revealed that 15.08% (51/338) of the isolates were resistant to colistin.

The MIC values for the isolates resistant to colistin ranged from 4 μ g/mL to \geq 64 μ g/mL (<u>Table 2</u>).

Additionally, the present study revealed that of the total 338 isolates involved in this study, 77 (22.78%) were multidrug-resistant (MDR).

Occurrence of *Mcr*-Like Genes Among the Colistin Resistant Isolates

The 51 isolates that were found resistant to colistin (MIC \ge 4 µg/mL) were subjected to PCR technique for screening the presence of *mcr*-genes (from *mcr*-1 to *mcr*-5).

The results revealed that 3 of the 51 isolates (5.88%) harbored the *mcr*-1 gene (Figure 1), whereas no other isolate carried *mcr*-2 to *mcr*-5 genes.

All three isolates that were tested positive for *mcr*-1 gene were *E. coli* isolates. The description of the isolates carrying *mcr*-1 gene is summarized in <u>Table 3</u>.



Figure 1. *Mcr*-1 gene electrophoresis gel (309 bp). M, 100 bp DNA marker. Lane 1, *E. coli* TT-7. Lane 2, *E. coli* TT-48. Lane 3, *E. coli* TG-12. Lane 4, Negative control (*E. coli* ATCC[®] 25922).

	E. coli	K. pneumoniae	P. mirabilis	Enterobacter. spp	C. freundii	<i>Total</i> n=338(%)	
	n=263 (%)	n=54 (%)	n=7 (%)	n=10(%)	n=4 (%)		
AMX	165(62.73%)	54(100%)	7(100%)	3(30%)	4(100%)	233(68.93%)	
CF	133(50.57%)	32(59.25%)	4(57.14%)	3(30%)	3(75%)	175(51.77%)	
СХТ	33(12.54%)	6(11.11%)	1(14.25%)	2(20%)	2(50%)	44(13.01%)	
CAZ	39(14.82%)	9(14.82%) 14(25.92%)		4(40%)	0(00%)	58(17.15%)	
IMP	6(2.28%)	2.28%) 3(5.55)		0(00%)	0(00%)	11(3.25%)	
тов	46(17.49%)	8(14.81%)	1(14.25%)	3(30%)	0(00%)	58(17.15%)	
АМК	32(12.16%)	5(9.25%)	2(28.57%)	0(00%)	1(25%)	40(11.83%)	
NAL	85(32.31%)	18(33.33%)	2(28.57%)	4(40%)	2(50%)	111(32.84%)	
CIP	64(24.33%)	15(27.77%)	1(14.25%)	3(30%)	0(00%)	83(24.55%)	
COL	33(9.76%)	11(20.37%)	7(100%)	0(00%)	0(00%)	51(15.08%)	

AMX: Amoxicillin, CF: Cephalotin, CXT: Cefotaxime, CAZ: Ceftazidime, IMP: Imipenem, TOB: Tobramycin, AMK: Amikacin, NAL: Nalidixic Acid, CIP: Ciprofloxacin

Isolates reference	Strain	City	Origin of samples	Sex	Age (Year)	Colistin MIC (µg/mL)	Resistance profile
TT-7	E. coli	Tetouan	Urine	male	91	4	AMX NA CPR TOB COL
TT-48	E. coli	Tetouan	Urine	-	-	32	AMX, CLT, COL
TG-12	E. coli	Tangier	Urine	male	-	>32	COL

Sequence Analysis

The PCR products were sequenced and found to be 99–100% identical to the *mcr*-1 genes available in the NCBI GenBank[®].

4. Discussion

The widespread availability and misuse of antibiotics, including self-medication and inadequate prescriptions, have caused microorganisms to develop

self-defense mechanisms against antibiotics (19). The current situation poses a significant threat as it may deprive mankind of a critical weapon in their fight against pathogens. Consequently, We are on the verge of returning to a pre-antibiotic era, wherein even minor infections resulted in death (19, 20).

The most common pathogen in our study was *E. coli*, accounting for 77.81% (263/338) of all isolates. The second most prevalent pathogen was *K. pneumoniae*, accounting for 15.97% (54/338) of isolates. The other

pathogens ranged between 1.18% and 2.95% (Table 2). Indeed, previous studies have also shown that *E. coli* is the most common cause of community and nosocomial bacterial infections. Furthermore, *E. coli* is the primary cause of urinary tract infections (UTI) among the *Enterobacteriaceae* family (21, 22). In the present study, females exhibited a higher prevalence of UTI (108/164) compared to males (44/164) and children (12/164). Indeed, numerous investigations have suggested that females are more prone to UTIs than males (21, 22). This finding aligns with our study results. The reason for this gender difference can be attributed to the physiological differences between males and females (21, 22).

Enterobacteriaceae isolates have high resistance rates to AMX while simultaneously being susceptible to carbapenems, which is another member of the beta-lactams family (23). This resistance is likely due to the widespread use of AMX, as it exhibits low toxicity. However, in contrast to other beta-lactams, carbapenems are particularly effective against *Enterobacteriaceae* because they resist the majority of β -lactamase, enzymes that break down beta-lactam antibiotics (23). These findings explain, in part, the high level of resistance of the isolates to AMX in our study (Table 2).

Following the discovery of plasmids harboring genes that encode colistin resistance (pHNSHP45, IncX4, IncHI2, and IncI2) in *Enterobacteriaceae* strains from both humans and animals (7, 8), a new controversy has erupted within the scientific community. This is a matter of great concern since colistin is regarded as a last-resort antibiotic for treating severe infections caused by MDR Gram-negative bacteria when other antibiotics proved ineffective. The first instance of colistin resistance (CoR) in *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates was in Athens (Greece) in 2004, and ever since, CoR has spread globally (24).

In our study, we found that colistin resistance was predominantly observed in *P. mirabilis*, followed by *E. coli*, and *K. pneumoniae*, to the detriment of other strains (Table 2). These findings were similar to Javed *et al.* **(25)**. The high prevalence of colistin resistance in *P. mirabilis* isolates (7/7) can be attributed to their inherent (intrinsic) resistance **(26)**. Conversely, for *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates, the high prevalence of colistin resistance of colistin resistance in UTIs **(27)**.

Colistin resistance has been reported in numerous other studies conducted globally, including in Europe (28, 29), Africa (30), Asia (31), North and South America (32, 33), and Australia (34). These findings reflect the concerning upward trend of this emerging resistance. The most alarming finding in our study was that 15.68% (8/51) of the CoR isolates, including 5 *E*. *coli*, were MDR and highly resistant to colistin (MIC values greater than 32 μ g/mL) simultaneously. This suggests finding more CoR MDR strains as the study progresses since *E. coli* is the most common pathogen in UTI infections (21, 22), This could lead to an increase in the emergence of pan-drug resistance, leaving healthcare professionals unsure of the available therapeutic options to combat these superbugs when one of the "last men standing" (Colistin) is unable to stop them.

The plasmid-mediated *mcr*-1 colistin resistance gene can be traced back to China (8). After this discovery, a flurry of investigations has ensued, shedding light on the potential risks associated with the spread of the *mcr*-like genes (7-9, 14-18).

Throughout our study, we have successfully identified three E. coli clinical isolates that harbor the mcr-1 gene. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first instance of the mcr-1 gene detected in clinical isolates of Enterobacteriaceae (E. coli) in Morocco. Previously, the mcr-1 gene was only found in E. coli isolates from poultry (broiler chicken) and Pasteurellaceae isolates from ruminants (35, 36). Furthermore, our study is the first to investigate both the prevalence of colistin resistance and the presence of mcr-like genes in clinical isolates. Additionally, all three mcr-1 positive isolates in this study were found in two cities in Northern Morocco - Tetouan and Tangier. These cities are near each other (less than 63 kilometers apart) and are known as tourist destinations. Furthermore, they are located near Europe, specifically Spain (less than 20 kilometers), where the mcr-1 gene was first reported (37). This discovery raises concerns about the potential outbreak and widespread dissemination of these genes throughout the country since the mcr-like genes (mcr-1 to mcr-10) are typically carried on conjugative plasmids, which opens the possibility of their transfer from one bacterium to another (9, 14-18).

Interestingly, none of the 51 CoR isolates in this study harbored the genes mcr-2, mcr-3, mcr-4, and mcr-5. These findings are consistent with some previous investigations demonstrating that these genes are commonly less predominant when compared to the mcr-1 gene (38, 39). However, the resistance of the rest of the isolates (the isolates that did not harbor mcr-1 genes) to colistin can be explained by different mechanisms, for instance, they might still have chromosomal mutations in genes coding for one of the two-component systems (TCS), PmrA/PmrB and PhoP/phoQ, potentially leading to resistance against colistin. Interestingly, the activity of TCS *PhoP/phoQ* is regulated by a transmembrane protein, mgrb. When TCS PhoP/phoQ activity increases, mgrb protein inserts into the bacterial membrane, downregulating *PhoP/phoQ* activity.

However, any mutation in the *mgrb* gene increases TCS *PhoP/phoQ* activity and leads to colistin resistance development (5, 7). Additionally, the inactivation of genes involved in LPS biosynthesis (*lpxA*, *lpxC*, *lpxD*) or overexpression of some efflux pumps (*AcrAB-TolC*, *MexXY/OprM*, *KpnEF*, *RosAB*, and *Rnd*-type), could contribute to the resistance against colistin in these isolates. Finally, it remains possible that these isolates might harbor *mcr*-like genes other than *mcr*-1 to *mcr*-5 (*mcr*-6 to *mcr*-10), resulting in their resistance to colistin (5, 7).

Consequently, all forms of resistance we discussed above (except for efflux pumps mutations), including plasmid-mediated resistance (*mcr*-1 to *mcr*-10) and chromosomal mutations (TCS *PmrA/PmrB* and *PhoP/phoQ*, *mgrb* gene, among others), lead to the same outcome, which is the modification of lipid A (colistin target). This modification occurs through the addition of phosphoethanolamine (pEtN) and 4-Amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose (L-Ara4N) via phosphoethanolamine transferase enzyme, thus resulting in resistance to colistin (5, 7).

The majority of studies tend to ignore the presence of *mcr*-like genes in *P. mirabilis* as it is naturally resistant to colistin. However, a few studies reported the presence of *mcr*-1 and *mcr*-2 in *P. mirabilis* samples from human and animal isolates (25, 40). Neglecting to screen for plasmid genes in *P. mirabilis* could result in a silent spread of *mcr* genes among these bacteria and subsequently to other bacterial species.

5. Conclusion

The first-time detection of the *mcr*-1 gene in clinical isolates of *Enterobacteriaceae* in Morocco may worsen the healthcare situation by promoting the rapid spread of this gene within bacterial strains across the country.

Reference

- Nijsingh N, Munthe C, Lindblom A, Åhrén C. Screening for multi-drug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: what is effective and justifiable? Monash Bioeth Rev. 2020;38(Suppl 1):72-90.
 [DOI:10.1007/s40592-020-00113-1] [PMID]
 [PMCID]
- Breijyeh Z, Jubeh B, Karaman R. Resistance of Gram-Negative Bacteria to Current Antibacterial Agents and Approaches to Resolve It. Molecules. 2020;25(6):1340. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.3390/molecules25061340]
- Stein A, Raoult D. Colistin: An Antimicrobial for the 21st Century? Clin Infect Dis. 2002;35(7):901-2. [DOI:10.1086/342570] [PMID]

Consequently, it is urgently required to implement effective infection control measures to stop the spread of *mcr*-like genes.

Acknowledgment

Not applicable.

Ethical Considerations

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research of the Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tangier, Morocco (CERB) (IORG0008724) and adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contribution

Z.E. and H.B. designed the study. Z.E. and L.R. collected the data an performed experiments. Z.E. and R.A. analyzed the data. Z.E. wrote the main manuscript. S.B., H.B. and R.A. reviewed the manuscript. Authors accepted the final version of this manuscript.

Funding

No funding was received for conducting this study.

- Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK, Saravolatz LD. Colistin: The Revival of Polymyxins for the Management of Multidrug-Resistant Gram-Negative Bacterial Infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2005;40(9):1333-41.
 [DOI:10.1086/429323] [PMID]
- Biswas S, Brunel J-M, Dubus J-C, Reynaud-Gaubert M, Rolain J-M. Colistin: an update on the antibiotic of the 21st century. Expert Rev Antiinfect Ther. 2012;10(8):917-34.
 [DOI:10.1586/eri.12.78] [PMID]
- Vardakas KZ, Voulgaris GL, Samonis G, Falagas ME. Inhaled colistin monotherapy for respiratory tract infections in adults without cystic fibrosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J

Antimicrob Agents. 2018;51(1):1-9. [DOI:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.05.016]

- Dortet L, Bonnin R, Jousset A, Gauthier L, Naas T. Émergence de la résistance à la colistine chez les entérobactéries : une brèche dans le dernier rempart contre la pan-résistance!. J Des Antiinfect. 2016;18(4):139-59.
 [DOI:10.1016/j.antinf.2016.09.003]
- Liu Y-Y, Wang Y, Walsh TR, Yi L-X, Zhang R, Spencer J, et al. Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: a microbiological and molecular biological study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16(2):161-8. [PMID] [DOI:10.1016/S1473-3099(15)00424-7]
- Hussein NH, Al-Kadmy IMS, Taha BM, Hussein JD. Mobilized colistin resistance (mcr) genes from 1 to 10: a comprehensive review. Mol Biol Rep. 2021;48(3):2897-907. [PMID] [DOI:10.1007/s11033-021-06307-y]
- Wayne P. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 30th ed: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2020.
- 11. EUCAST. Recommendations for MIC determination of colistin (polymyxin E) as recommended by the joint CLSI-EUCAST Polymyxin Breakpoints Working Group. EUCAST; 2016.
- Martínez-Servat S, Yero D, Huedo P, Marquez R, Molina G, Daura X, Gibert I. Heterogeneous colistin-resistance phenotypes coexisting in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolates influence colistin susceptibility testing. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:2871. [DOI:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02871] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Benaicha H, Barrijal S, Ezzakkioui F, Elmalki F. Prevalence of PMQR genes in E. coli and Klebsiella spp. isolated from North-West of Morocco. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2017;10:321-5. [DOI:10.1016/j.jgar.2017.05.024] [PMID]
- Moosavian M, Emam N. The first report of emerging mobilized colistin-resistance (mcr) genes and ERIC-PCR typing in Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae clinical isolates in southwest Iran. Infect Drug Resist. 2019;12:1001-10. [DOI:10.2147/IDR.S192597] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Ahmed ZS, Elshafiee EA, Khalefa HS, Kadry M, Hamza DA. Evidence of colistin resistance genes (mcr-1 and mcr-2) in wild birds and its public health implication in Egypt. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2019;8:197. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1186/s13756-019-0657-5]

- Yin W, Li H, Shen Y, Liu Z, Wang S, Shen Z, et al. Novel Plasmid-Mediated Colistin Resistance Gene mcr-3 in Escherichia coli. mBio. 2017;8(3): e00543-17. [DOI:10.1128/mBio.00543-17] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Badr H, Samir A, El-Tokhi El, Shahein MA, Rady FM, Hakim AS, et al. Phenotypic and genotypic screening of colistin resistance associated with emerging pathogenic Escherichia coli isolated from poultry. Vet Sci. 2022;9(6):282.
 [DOI:10.3390/vetsci9060282] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Borowiak M, Fischer J, Hammerl JA, Hendriksen RS, Szabo I, Malorny B. Identification of a novel transposon-associated phosphoethanolamine transferase gene, mcr-5, conferring colistin resistance in d-tartrate fermenting Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Paratyphi B. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2017;72(12):3317-24. [DOI:10.1093/jac/dkx327] [PMID]
- Sachdev C, Anjankar A, Agrawal J. Self-Medication With Antibiotics: An Element Increasing Resistance. Cureus. 2022;14(10):e30844.
 [DOI:10.7759/cureus.30844]
- Shlaes DM, Bradford PA. Antibiotics-From There to Where?: How the antibiotic miracle is threatened by resistance and a broken market and what we can do about it. Pathog Immun. 2018;3(1):19-43. [DOI:10.20411/pai.v3i1.231] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Medina M, Castillo-Pino E. An introduction to the epidemiology and burden of urinary tract infections. Ther Adv Urol. 2019;11: 1756287219832172. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1177/1756287219832172]
- Nadmi H, Elotmani F, Talmi M, Zerouali K, Perrier-Gros-Claude JD, Timinouni M. Profil de résistance aux antibiotiques des entérobactéries uropathogènes communautaires à El Jadida (Maroc). Med Mal Infect. 2010;40(5):303-5.
 [DOI:10.1016/j.medmal.2009.08.020] [PMID]
- Papp-Wallace Krisztina M, Endimiani A, Taracila Magdalena A, Bonomo Robert A. Carbapenems: Past, Present, and Future. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(11):4943-60.
 [DOI:10.1128/AAC.00296-11] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Antoniadou A, Kontopidou F, Poulakou G, Koratzanis E, Galani I, Papadomichelakis E, et al. Colistin-resistant isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae emerging in intensive care unit patients: first report of a multiclonal cluster. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;59(4):786-90. [DOI:10.1093/jac/dkl562] [PMID]

- Javed H, Saleem S, Zafar A, Ghafoor A, Shahzad AB, Ejaz H, et al. Emergence of plasmid-mediated mcr genes from Gram-negative bacteria at the human-animal interface. Gut Pathog. 2020;12(1): 54. [DOI:10.1186/s13099-020-00392-3] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Girlich D, Bonnin RA, Dortet L, Naas T. Genetics of Acquired Antibiotic Resistance Genes in Proteus spp. Front Microbiol. 2020;11:256.
 [DOI:10.3389/fmicb.2020.00256] [PMID] [PMCID]
- 27. Ejrnæs K. Bacterial characteristics of importance for recurrent urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli. Dan Med Bull. 2011;58(4):B4187.
- Tansarli GS, Papaparaskevas J, Balaska M, Samarkos M, Pantazatou A, Markogiannakis A, et al. Colistin resistance in carbapenemaseproducing Klebsiella pneumoniae bloodstream isolates: Evolution over 15 years and temporal association with colistin use by time series analysis. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2018;52(3):397-403. [DOI:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.06.012] [PMID]
- Irrgang A, Roschanski N, Tenhagen B-A, Grobbel M, Skladnikiewicz-Ziemer T, Thomas K, et al. Prevalence of mcr-1 in E. coli from livestock and food in Germany, 2010-2015. PloS One. 2016; 11(7):e0159863. [PMID] [PMCID] [DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0159863]
- Hasanin A, Eladawy A, Mohamed H, Salah Y, Lotfy A, Mostafa H, et al. Prevalence of extensively drug-resistant gram negative bacilli in surgical intensive care in Egypt. Pan Afr Med J. 2014;19: 177. [DOI:10.11604/pamj.2014.19.177.4307] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Zhang P, Shen Z, Zhang C, Song L, Wang B, Shang J, et al. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance among Escherichia coli from chicken and swine, China, 2008-2015. Vet Microbiol. 2017;203:49-55.
 [DOI:10.1016/j.vetmic.2017.02.008] [PMID]
- Carolyn B. Surveillance programs watching for colistin-resistant infections. Can Med Assoc J. 2016;188(6):409. [DOI:10.1503/cmaj.109-5242] [PMID] [PMCID]
- 33. Rossi F, Girardello R, Cury AP, Di Gioia TS, Almeida JN, Jr., Duarte AJ. Emergence of colistin resistance

in the largest university hospital complex of São Paulo, Brazil, over five years. Braz J Infect Dis. 2017;21(1):98-101.

[DOI:10.1016/j.bjid.2016.09.011] [PMID] [PMCID]

- Poudyal A, Howden BP, Bell JM, Gao W, Owen RJ, Turnidge JD, et al. In vitro pharmacodynamics of colistin against multidrug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2008; 62(6):1311-8. [DOI:10.1093/jac/dkn425] [PMID]
- Rahmatallah N, El Rhaffouli H, Laraqui A, Sekhsokh Y, Lahlou-Amine I, El Houadfi M, Fihri OF. Detection of colistin encoding resistance genes MCR-1 in isolates recovered from broiler chickens in Morocco. S J Pathol Microbiol. 2018; 3(12):520-1.
- 36. Sebbar G, Fellahi S, Filali-Maltouf A, Belkadi B. Detection of colistin resistance in Mannheimia haemolytica & Pasteurella multocida isolates from ruminants in Morocco. Pak Vet. 2021; 41(01):127-31. [DOI:10.29261/pakvetj/2020.077] [PMID]
- Prim N, Rivera A, Rodríguez-Navarro J, Español M, Turbau M, Coll P, Mirelis B. Detection of mcr-1 colistin resistance gene in polyclonal Escherichia coli isolates in Barcelona, Spain, 2012 to 2015. Euro Surveill. 2016;21(13):30183. [PMID] [DOI:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.13.30183]
- Anan MMG, El-Seidi EA, Mostafa MS, Rashed LA, El-Wakil DM. Detection of Plasmid-Mediated Mobile Colistin Resistance Gene (mcr-1) in Enterobacterales Isolates from a University Hospital. Infect Drug Resist. 2021;14:3063-70. [DOI:10.2147/IDR.S318787] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Abd El-Baky RM, Masoud SM, Mohamed DS, Waly NGFM, Shafik EA, Mohareb DA, et al. Prevalence and Some Possible Mechanisms of Colistin Resistance Among Multidrug-Resistant and Extensively Drug-Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Infect Drug Resist. 2020;13(null):323-32. [DOI:10.2147/IDR.S238811] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Hmede Z, Kassem II. First report of the plasmidborne colistin resistance gene (mcr-1) in Proteus mirabilis isolated from a toddler in non-clinical settings. IDCases. 2019;18:e00651. [PMID] [DOI:10.1016/j.idcr.2019.e00651] [PMCID]